## U.S. Should Always Keep Eye on Cuba

By W. D. Workman

At least one Congressmen, among those convening today in Washington, is fed up with Amerloan hands-off policy toward Cubs, and is willing to invade the island if necessary.

Rep. L. Mendel Rivers (D.S.C.). a ranking member of the House, Armed Services Committee, hopes that Congress will put pressure on the executive branch to take a firm stand against the Com-munist threat posed by Fidel Castro.

Rep. Rivers is especially fired up at the moment because of the Indian invasion of the Portuguese enclave of Gos. He says that if the United Nations can justify that action, then the U.N. should be Jubilant over a United States' seizure of Cuba.

It may be questioned whether he actually wants this country to take over Cuba lock-stock-andbarrel. But there is no doubting his hope that we do whatever is needed to safeguard the U.S. Naval Base at Guantananio, the rights of Americans in Cuba, and the national defense of the United States itself.

Whatever happens to the Rivers proposal, it at least should clear away some of the fog surrounding away some of the for surrounding the United States policy toward Cuba. The Hasso of last aprine, which never has been satisfactorily explained to the American people, left these offshore international waters middler than ever. And recurring reports of other expeditions, being readied for ant Castro operations in Cuba are commoning the continuous.

are compounding the continuous.

For one thing, why has no one invoked the federal statute which forbids use of American soil as a pre-invasion stating area? Sec-tion 9:0 of Title 18 says, in positive languages

"Whoever, within the United I States, knowingly begins or sets on foot or provides or prepares shred in the Congress. The op-a means for or furnishes the portunity may be presented by a money for, or takes part in, any tatter-day South Caroliman who military or naval expedition or might well quote an illustrious enterprise to be carried on from predocessor from his state. John dominion of any foreign prince or correspondence state, or of any colony, district. Jackson):

or people with whom the United States is at peace, shall be fined not more than \$3,000 or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

For another thing, should this, law apply to agencies of the federal government itself, such Central Intelligence the Agency, which has been credited with couking up much of the anti-Castro activity?

Such laws have been in the federal statutes since the days of George Washington. They were invoked time and again during the mid-19th century, when American sentiment, especially in the South, favored the liberation of Cuba from Spanish rule.

During that period, three American presidents in succession — Zachary Taylor, Miliard Fillmore, and Franklin Pierce-all found is necessary to issue proclamations warning against participation in military expeditions for Cuban liberation.

The proclamations were not effective in all cases, for that persuasive Venezuelan adventure er, Marciso Lopez, managed no enly to raise expeditions in the United States, but to launch them. Twice he led "liberation" forces ashore on Cuba. The first attempt fizzled, and the invaders withdrew back to the United States. The second effort (a year later, in 1851) brought even worse luck, for Lopez and a number of his followers, mostly Southerders, were captured by the spaniards and executed.

Almost a half-century later, the United States itself got involved the liberation of Cuba; and put the island on the road to idependence. Today, the Pearl of the Antilles seems at the end of that road, and once more Americans are concerned over the situation.

This concern should properly he thence against the territory or i.C. Calboun once said of Cube cin with '

No American statesman ought Approved For Release 2004/12/15: CIA-RDP75-00149R000700020036-7